

# $\pi$ Shaper 12\_12

# **Laser Beam Shaping Optics**

# **Manual**



1. Specification Table 1

Common for all \(\pi\)Shaper 12_12 models:			
Туре	Field mapping beam shaper as a telescope of Galilean type, without internal focus		
Input beam	- Collimated - TEM <sub>00</sub> or multimode with Gaussian or similar intensity profile		
Output beam	<ul> <li>Collimated</li> <li>Flat-top, uniformity within 5%</li> <li>Diameter ~12 mm, see exact data below</li> <li>High edge steepness</li> </ul>		
Other features	<ul> <li>Compact design suitable for scientific and industrial applications</li> <li>High resistance for high peak power pulse lasers</li> <li>Conserving flatness of the beam wavefront</li> <li>Long working distance</li> <li>Water cooling, option for CW (or average) power &gt; 400 W</li> </ul>		
Weight	< 600 g		
Mounting	- Input: outer thread M27x1 - Output: outer thread M33x1; Adaptor M33x1 -> M27x1 (outer)		

# *πShaper 12\_12* features

Model*	Input 1/e² Ø, mm	Output FWHM Ø, mm	Spectral band, nm	Dimensions Ø/Length, mm	Design wave- lengths, nm	Applications based on
_10.6	12.0 - 12.2	12.0	10000-11000	49 / 360	10600	CO <sub>2</sub> lasers
_9.4	12.0 - 12.2	12.0	9000-10000	49 / 271	9400	CO <sub>2</sub> lasers
_5.1	12.0 - 12.2	12.0	5000-5500	49 / 271	5100	CO, quantum cascade lasers
_2.05_HP	12.0 - 12.1	12.1	1900 - 2160	42 / 358	2050	mid-IR lasers
_1550_HP	12.0 - 12.1	12.2	1500 - 1600	42 / 358	1550	near-IR lasers
_1064	12.8 - 13.0	12.4	1020 - 1100	49 / 271	1064	Nd:YAG, Fiber lasers, NIR Lasers
_1064_HP	12.0 - 12.1	12.0	1020 - 1100	42 / 358	1064	High-peak power Nd:YAG, Fiber lasers
_1064_HP_W	12.0 - 12.1	12.0	1020 - 1100	49 / 360	1064	High-peak power USP lasers, water cooled
_1064_C	58 mrad (full) divergent	12.0	1020 - 1100	42 / 285	1064	Nd:YAG, Fiber lasers, NIR Lasers
_TiS_HP	12.0 - 12.1	12.0	700 - 900	42 / 358	800	Ti:Sapphire lasers, NIR Lasers
_532	12.8 - 13.0	11.8	515 - 550	49 / 271	532	2 <sup>nd</sup> Harmonics Nd:YAG, similar lasers
_532_HP	12.0 - 12.1	11.8	515 - 550	42 / 358	532	2 <sup>nd</sup> Harmonics of Nd:YAG, high-peak power lasers
_355_HP	12.0 - 12.1	11.3	330 - 380	42 / 358	355	3 <sup>rd</sup> Harmonics of Nd:YAG, high-peak power lasers
_325	12.7 - 12.9	11.4	305 - 345	49 / 271	325	He-Cd, UV lasers
_266	12.6 - 12.8	10.6	250 - 270	42 / 285	266	4 <sup>th</sup> Harmonics of Nd:YAG UV lasers
_266_HP	12.0 - 12.1	10.6	250 - 270	42 / 358	266	4 <sup>th</sup> Harmonics of Nd:YAG, high-peak power lasers
_266_C	60 mrad (full) divergent	12.0	250 - 270	42 / 285	266	4 <sup>th</sup> Harmonics of Nd:YAG UV lasers

<sup>\* -</sup> Basic models are Telescopes of Galilean type (without internal focus), Models with index \_HP are versions for high peak power lasers, Models with index \_C are Collimators without internal focus.

# 2. Description

The  $\pi Shaper_12_12$  series of beam shaping systems represent optical components for converting input single mode beams (TEM<sub>00</sub>) or multimode beams, the intensity profile of which is similar to Gaussian, into flattop beams with a uniform intensity distribution. Due to many of the same properties, the generic name  $\pi Shaper$  is used below, if necessary, differences between models will be noted additionally.

The  $\pi$ Shaper are field mapping beam shapers implemented as telescopic systems with two optical components, Fig.1. It is implied that wavefronts at the input and output are flat; the transformation of the intensity profile from Gaussian to uniform is realized in a controlled manner, by accurate introducing wave aberration by the 1<sup>st</sup> component and further compensation by the 2<sup>nd</sup> component.

Thus, the resulting collimated output beam has a uniform intensity and a flat wave front; it is characterized by low divergence – almost the same as that of the input beam. In other words, the field mapping beam shapers  $\pi Shaper$  transform the intensity distribution without violating the integrity of the beam and without increasing its divergence.

In short, the main features of the refractive field mappers are:

- refractive optical systems transforming Gaussian to flat-top (uniform) distribution;
- transformation through controlled phase front manipulation the 1<sup>st</sup> component introduces the spherical aberration necessary for energy redistribution, and the 2<sup>nd</sup> component compensates for the aberration;
- the output beam is free from aberrations, the phase profile is maintained flat, hence, low output divergence;
- TEM<sub>00</sub> and multimode beams applied;
- collimated output beam;
- the beam profile remains stable over large distance;
- implementations in the form of telescopic or collimating optical systems;
- Galilean design, no internal focusing.

The operation of various versions of  $\pi$ Shaper is presented in Fig.2, examples of beam shaping – in Fig.3, 4.




Figure 1. The principle of operation of the refractive field mapping beam shaper.




Figure 2. The refractive beam shapers  $\pi$ *Shaper*.




Figure 3. Beam shaping of the  $TEM_{00}$  laser, the measured intensity profiles: on the left – Input  $TEM_{00}$  beam, on the right - after the  $\pi Shaper$  (example for the  $\pi Shaper$  6\_6 is shown)




Figure 4. Beam shaping of the high peak power *multimode* laser with the  $\pi$ Shaper 12\_12\_1064\_HP, the measured intensity profiles: left – Input, parabolic, 1064 nm, 6 ns pulse width, 2.7 J pulse energy, 1/e<sup>2</sup> Ø 10 mm, right – at the  $\pi$ Shaper output, FWHM . Ø 12 mm

Courtesy of Georgia Institute of Technology

The Angular Magnification of the telescopic system is about 0.78, therefore, from the point of view of paraxial optics, the diameter of the output beam is increased by factor 1/0.78, and the field of view angle is reduced by factor 0.78.

The optical system of the  $\pi$ Shaper consists of 2 components with the following clear apertures (CA):

Table 2

	Clear aperture (CA), mm		Comments	
	Input	Output	Comments	
πShaper_12_12_HP	17.8	16	Input protection aperture Ø15.8 mm	
πShaper_12_12_HP_W	17.8	16	Input protection aperture Ø15.8 mm, water cooled	
πShaper_12_12	19	15	water cooled	
πShaper 12 12 10.6 / 9.4 / 5.1	21	16.6	water cooled, CO <sub>2</sub> , CO lasers	

The input and output sides of the  $\pi$ Shaper are shown in Fig. 5; the adjustment rings are always located closer to the exit.



Figure 5. Side views of the  $\pi$ Shaper: top – water cooled models  $\pi$ Shaper 12\_12, middle -  $\pi$ Shaper 12\_12\_HP models, bottom – water cooled  $\pi$ Shaper 12\_12\_1064\_HP\_W,  $\pi$ Shaper 12\_12\_10.6.

### 3. Input beam

The optical design of  $\pi$ Shaper assumes that the input beam is TEM<sub>00</sub> or multimode with the Gaussian-like or parabolic Intensity profile, see examples in Fig.3 and 4. The input beam is to be **collimated** and have an  $1/e^2$  intensity diameter  $2\omega = ^212$  mm ( $\omega$  is the beam waist), the exact data for particular models are given in Table 1.

The optimum size of the input beam depends on its intensity profile. For example, in the case of multimode high peak power pulse lasers, as shown in Fig. 4 (1064 nm, 6 ns,  $2.7 \, \mathrm{J}$  pulse), the optimal  $1/e^2$  diameter of the input beam is typically 10-11 mm. It is strongly recommended to measure the intensity distributions of lasers using a beam profiler in order to determine the optimum diameter of the input beam. When working with multimode high peak power pulse lasers, it is highly recommended to provide in the optical setup the ability to adjust the diameter of the input beam with control of the output beam profile.

Notes: Variation of the input beam size results in a variation of output profile!

Deviation of the input beam profile from the Gaussian function also results in a variation of the output profile!

To evaluate the intensity distribution, it is strongly recommended to use dedicated instruments, for example, camera-based beam profilers!

Do not use the Scanning Slit beam profilers!

According to the data in Table 2, the Clear Apertures of the input optical components are more than 1.5 times larger than the optimum  $2\omega$ , therefore, theoretically 99% of the Gaussian beam passes through the optics.

One of the  $\pi$ Shaper components, on the output side, is movable; this feature is provided to compensate for the divergence or convergence of the input beam, the full compensation range is  $\pm 1.3$  mrad, which is an order of magnitude greater than the natural divergence of laser beams of ~12mm 1/e² diameter and wavelength <2 $\mu$ m, see the chapter "Features of mechanical design".

# 4. Output beam

In accordance with the principle of operation, the output beam is collimated and has a uniform intensity profile, the theoretical deviation from uniformity is less than 2%.

The wavefront error (wave aberration) is less than  $\pm \lambda/10$ .

Depending on the structure of the input beam, a uniform output profile remains stable at a distance of about 600 - 800 mm. With further propagation in space, owing to diffraction effects, the intensity profile of a flat-top beam of a single phase front (TEM<sub>00</sub> input) is transformed into a non-uniform beam with the Airy disk distribution in the far field. The behavior of multimode beams is more complex and strongly depends on the properties of the input beam; typically, it is transformed in the far field back into a Gaussian-like or parabolic profile.

See detailed descriptions, as well as methods for overcoming diffraction effects using imaging in the article

"Imaging techniques with refractive beam shaping optics" <a href="http://www.pishaper.com/pdfs/spie2012">http://www.pishaper.com/pdfs/spie2012</a> imaging tech refract beam shaping optics.pdf

Variation of the input beam size results in a variation of output intensity profile!

A comparison of the output intensity profiles is presented in the Appendix in the chapter " $\pi$ Shaper 12\_12\_1064 Variation in the output intensity profile with a variation of the diameter of the TEM<sub>00</sub> Gaussian input beam".

Typically, the tolerance for input beam diameter is ±5%.

Variation of the input beam intensity distribution results in a variation of the  $\pi$ Shaper output intensity profile!

To ensure a uniform distribution of the output intensity, it is necessary to adapt the size of input beam; examples of such adaptation are presented in the Appendix in the chapter " $\pi$ Shaper 12\_12\_1064 Providing a flat-top output profile with input beams which profiles deviate from the Gaussian function".

The effect of changing the profile of the output beam by changing the size of the input beam can be used to compensate for the deviation of the profile of the input beam from the ideal Gaussian!

Note: To evaluate the intensity distribution, it is strongly recommended to use dedicated instruments, for example, camera-based beam profilers!

Do not use the Scanning Slit beam profilers!

# 5. Spectral properties

The optical components of the  $\pi$ Shaper are made of fused silica or ZnSe, these materials are characterized by low dispersion, and the optical design is optimized for operation in a specific working band.

The AR-coating of each  $\pi Shaper$  model is optimized for the respective spectrum:

Table 3

πShaper 12_12 model*	AR-coating	Optimum* spectrum, nm	Working band, nm (acceptable performance)	Material of lenses
_10.6	V-type @10.6 μm	10000 - 11000	10000 - 11000	ZnSe
_9.4	V-type @9.4 μm	9000 - 10000	9000 - 10000	ZnSe
_5.1	V-type @5.1 μm	5000 - 5500	4500 - 6000	ZnSe
_2.05_HP	V-type @ 2.05 μm	1900 - 2160	1900 - 2250	Fused Silica
_1550_HP	V-type @1550 nm	1500 - 1600	1450 - 1700	Fused Silica
_1064	V-type @1064 nm	1020 - 1100	980 - 1160	Fused Silica
_1064_HP	V-type @1064 nm	1020 - 1100	980 - 1160	Fused Silica
_1064_HP_W	V-type @1064 nm	1020 - 1100	980 - 1160	Fused Silica
_1064_C	V-type @1064 nm	1020 - 1100	980 - 1160	Fused Silica
_TiS_HP	V-type @800 nm	700 - 900	680 - 950	Fused Silica
_532	V-type @532 nm	515 - 550	500 - 570	Fused Silica
_532_HP	V-type @532 nm	515 - 550	500 - 570	Fused Silica
_355_HP	V-type @355 nm	330 - 380	320 - 390	Fused Silica
_325	V-type @325 nm	305 - 345	300 - 360	Fused Silica
_266	V-type @266 nm	250 - 270	245 - 280	Fused Silica
_266_HP	V-type @266 nm	250 - 270	245 - 280	Fused Silica
_266_C	V-type @266 nm	250 - 270	245 - 280	Fused Silica
* - according to the AR-coatings				


Spectral transmission graphs for the popular  $\pi$ Shaper models are presented in Fig. 6. These data are based on measurements of reflection of the optical surfaces with AR-coatings. Devices manufactured in various production batches may have deviations from the presented graphs.

When operating in the Optimum spectrum, the total losses do not exceed 6%.

Since  $\pi$ Shaper devices are developed for use with lasers with high peak pulse energy or relatively high average or CW power, V-type AR-coatings with minima at the design wavelengths, Table 1, are applied.

The transmission spectral properties of  $\pi$ Shaper models not mentioned in Fig.6 are similar to those of \_HP models for the same design wavelengths, that is, the transmission graph for the  $\pi$ Shaper is similar to that for the  $\pi$ Shaper 12\_12\_HP.

Using  $\pi Shaper$  at a wavelength outside the optimal spectral band will affect the increasing in loss.



# 6. Features of Mechanical design

General drawings of two main  $\pi$ Shaper implementations with overall dimensions are presented in Fig. 7




Figure 7. Basic mechanical designs of the  $\pi$ Shaper: top – water cooled models  $\pi$ Shaper 12\_12, middle -  $\pi$ Shaper 12\_12\_HP, no water cooling, bottom – water cooled  $\pi$ Shaper 12\_12\_1064\_HP\_W\_HP,  $\pi$ Shaper 12\_12\_10.6.

**Mounting**. All  $\pi$ Shaper models have the same mounting design:

Input side: - Outer Thread M27 x 1
Output side: - Outer Thread M33 x 1

- Adaptor M33 x 1 -> M 27 x 1 (outer)

Thus, an M27x1 mounting thread is provided at both ends of the  $\pi$ Shaper.

It is assumed that the  $\pi Shaper$  is mounted in the optical system by means of these threads, preferably using the 4-axis Mounts described in the next Chapter.

Other mounting methods should be discussed with the Supplier.

IMPORTANT: Due to the relatively heavy weight of water cooled models

πShaper 12\_12\_1064\_HP\_W πShaper 12\_12\_10.6,

it is strongly recommended, in addition to the main 4-axis Mount at the entrance,

to apply an additional supporting mount at the  $\pi$ Shaper exit in order

to prevent an accidental tilt of the  $\pi$ Shaper and damage of optical components by a powerful laser beam!

**Movable Component.** One of the  $\pi$ Shaper components, on the output side, is movable. This feature is provided to adapt the beam shaper to actual conditions of operation, such as the working wavelength, the divergence/convergence of the input beam.

Note: Movement of the  $\pi$ Shaper Component is the **last** step in the adjustment procedures; it should only be done, when the 4-axis alignment has been completed!

The movement in the range of ±20 mm (±10 mm in obsolete versions) is provided by rotating the Adjustment Rings, Fig.5 and 7:

- in the water cooled models *πShaper 12\_12\_9.4, \_5.1, \_1064, \_532, \_325* 
  - o release of the 3 Fixation Screws,
  - o rotation of the Adjustment Rings,
  - o fixation of the chosen position of the Adjustment Ring using the Fixation Screws,
- in the  $\pi$ Shaper 12\_12\_HP,  $\pi$ Shaper 12\_12\_10.6 models
  - step-by-step rotating the two Adjustment Rings,
  - o these Adjustment Rings are used also for fixation of the movable component.

The adjustment rings are always located closer to the exit.

The criterion of the proper adjustment is the collimated output beam, i.e. providing the beam of lowest divergence.

Note: Movement of the  $\pi$ Shaper Component is the **last** step in the adjustment procedures; it should only be done, when the 4-axis alignment has been completed!

The  $\pi Shaper$  is equipped with a Scale of 1 mm pitch, which is used to determine the position of the movable component. Original position of components with respect to each other is optimized for the design wavelength, Table 1.

Thus, the general suggestion when working with  $\pi$ Shaper is as follows:

- start from the original position and
- perform the 4-axis alignment procedure, see the next Chapter and the recommendations presented in

http://www.adloptica.com/manual/Alignment pish66 f pish9.pdf

http://youtu.be/hinngpo4knY

http://pishaper.com/pdfs/alignment.zip?Align=Download+Alignment+video,

and provide a symmetric output beam,

- turn the Adjustment Ring(s) to compensate for the divergence or convergence of the input laser beam and to achieve a collimated output beam, i.e. the beam with the lowest divergence.

Note: Movement of the  $\pi$ Shaper Component is the **last** step in the adjustment procedures;

it should only be done, when the 4-axis alignment has been completed!

Note: Moving the output component is provided for correction purposes only!

In terms of divergence, compensation is provided for a maximum range of  $\pm 1.3$  mrad !

#### Features of water cooled $\pi$ Shaper versions

- 1) water cooled models  $\pi$ Shaper 12\_12\_9.4, \_5.1, \_1064, \_532, \_325:
  - the movement mechanism of the movable component is equipped with only one Adjustment Ring,
  - relative position of the component is controlled using the Scale seen through the window in the device cabinet,
  - fixation of the chosen position of the Adjustment Ring using the 3 Fixation Screws.
- 2) πShaper 12\_12\_1064\_HP\_W πShaper 12\_12\_10.6:
  - the movement mechanism is equipped with two Adjustment Rings, Fig.7, bottom,
  - the movable component is moved by step-by-step rotating the two Adjustment Rings,
  - relative position of the component is controlled using the Scale on the device cabinet,
  - these Rings are used also for fixation of the movable component.
- 3) Connection of pipes of the water cooling system should be done through the fittings, see Fig. 8
  - Coating of water cooling channel: models  $\pi Shaper~12\_12\_9.4, \_5.1, \_1064, \_532, \_325$  Al oxide  $\pi Shaper~12\_12\_1064\_HP\_W$  Stainless Steel  $\pi Shaper~12\_12\_10.6$  Stainless Steel
  - water flow up to 2 liter/min
  - pressure drop at 2 liter/min <1 bar
  - maximum water pressure 2 bar
  - water cooling channel connector SMC M-5HL-6
  - Hose diameters: inner 4 mm, outer 6 mm
  - water quality: deionised/ distilled water
  - water temperature 15-30°C.
- 4) water cooled models  $\pi$ Shaper 12\_12\_9.4, \_5.1, \_1064, \_532, \_325: inner thread M 23x0,75 at the  $\pi$ Shaper entrance. Can be used to mount additional optical components, such as collimators or negative lenses, used to optimize the divergence of the input beam.

It is recommended to discuss the appropriateness of using this option in a specific task with the Supplier.



IMPORTANT: Due to the relatively heavy weight of water cooled models  $\pi \textit{Shaper } 12\_12\_1064\_\textit{HP\_W} \\ \pi \textit{Shaper } 12\_12\_10.6,$ 

it is strongly recommended, in addition to the main 4-axis Mount at the entrance, to apply an additional supporting mount at the  $\pi$ Shaper exit in order to prevent an accidental tilt of the  $\pi$ Shaper and damage of optical components by a powerful laser beam!

# 7. Alignment

The  $\pi Shaper$  is an optical device with a narrow field of view; therefore it is sensitive to errors of its positioning relative to the input beam. The results of theoretical calculations characterizing the sensitivity of  $\pi Shaper$  to displacements and tilts, as well as experimental examples, are described below in the Appendix in a separate chapter "Behavior of  $\pi Shaper_12_12_1064$ " when misalignments". To ensure the correct result of beam profile conversion, it is necessary to take care of the  $\pi Shaper$  alignment in the optical system; the adjustments should be

- lateral translations along the X/Y axes, i.e. perpendicular to the optical axis, and
- tilts around the X/Y axes.

The optomechanical design of the complete optical system with  $\pi Shaper$  must contain a 4-axis mount for its alignment; examples of such tools are presented in Fig.9 and 10.



Tile (tile	range	±4°	
Tilt/tip	sensitivity	3arcsec	
X/Y translation	range	±2 mm	
	sensitivity	1 μm	
Thread for the $\pi$	Shaper mounting	M27x1 internal	
Mounting Holes		Thread M6, 6 positions	
Overall dimension	ons	66 x 66 x 34 mm	
Weight		320 g	

Figure 9. Mount M27, 4-axis, for the πShaper mounting, *lockable* 

The lockable 4-axis Mount M27, Fig. 9, provides firm alignment and fixation of the  $\pi$ Shaper, it is recommended for use in industrial equipment, as well as in other applications where stable positioning of the optics is required. Examples of mounting the  $\pi$ Shaper using the Mount M27 with a demonstration of design features are presented in Fig. 11.

Detailed design information about the Mount M27 is presented in <a href="http://pishaper.com/shaper-adjust.html#tabs-1">http://pishaper.com/shaper-adjust.html#tabs-1</a>

The 4-axis Mount presented in Fig. 10 is not equipped with locks; however, it has the knurled screws, which makes it very convenient for use in laboratory conditions or for quick setup of the  $\pi$ Shaper for test purposes, see also

http://pishaper.com/shaper adjust.html#tabs-2

T: + /+:	angle	±2°	
Tilt/tip	sensitivity	3 arcsec	
X/Y translation	range	±2 mm	
	sensitivity	1 μm	
Thread for the $\pi$	Shaper mounting	M27x1 internal	
Mounting Hole		Thread M6, 2 positions	
Overall dimension	ons	77 x 77 x 65 mm	
Weight		200 g	

Figure 10. 4-axis Mount, without locking, for laboratory use.





Figure 11. The  $\pi$ *Shaper* mounted in the Mount M27:

- (a) side view,
- (b) the screws of the tilt around the X/Y axes, as well as the locking screws are shown,
- (c) the screws of the X/Y latreral translation mechanism and locking screws are shown.

The *uniform intensity* distribution and the *symmetric view* of the beam at the  $\pi$ Shaper output are the criteria for the proper alignment.

Note: To evaluate the intensity distribution, it is strongly recommended to use dedicated instruments, for example, camera-based beam profilers!

Do not use the Scanning Slit beam profilers!

Tolerances for the  $\pi$ *Shaper* alignment:

- lateral displacement ±0,2 mm;
- angular tilt ±0,1°.

Examples of the beam profile transformation under the conditions of the correct  $\pi$ *Shaper* alignment are presented in Figs.3, 4 and 14.

Recommendations to alignment procedure are presented in

http://www.adloptica.com/manual/Alignment pish66 f pish9.pdf

http://youtu.be/hinngpo4knY

http://pishaper.com/pdfs/alignment.zip?Align=Download+Alignment+video

IMPORTANT: Due to the relatively heavy weight of water cooled models

πShaper 12\_12\_1064\_HP\_W

πShaper 12\_12\_10.6,


it is strongly recommended, in addition to the main 4-axis Mount at the entrance,

to apply an additional supporting mount at the  $\pi Shaper$  exit in order

to prevent an accidental tilt of the  $\pi$ Shaper and damage of optical components by a powerful laser beam!

# 8. Example of the $\pi$ Shaper\_6\_6\_1064 operation

An illustration of the  $\pi Shaper$  influence on the results of material processing with using the laser is presented at left picture. Here one can see a comparison of engraving of a depression in a material with pure TEM<sub>OO</sub> laser as well as with the same laser but with a  $\pi Shaper$  after it.





a) Direct engraving by TEM<sub>00</sub> laser

b) Engraving by TEM<sub>oo</sub> laser with πShaper

Figure 12. Examples of material processing (a) without and (b) with a πShaper (Courtesy of EO Technics).

Difference is evident - irregular shape of the depression with a ragged unwished hole in the middle in case of direct engraving with the  $TEM_{00}$  laser, good shaped round depression with a controlled depth when applying the  $\pi Shaper$ .

# 9. Data for communication with a supplier

By the communication with a supplier for evaluation of the optics alignment and performance, it is recommended to present, beforehand, the following data measured using a *camera-based* beam profiler:

- Input beam
  - profile at the entrance of the  $\pi$ Shaper, with numerical data for the  $1/e^2$  diameter,
  - divergence, numerical data, for example by measuring 1/e<sup>2</sup> beam diameter from the laser source at distances 50 mm, 500 mm, 1000 mm, 2000 mm,
  - astigmatism and ellipticity, numerical data,
- Output beam profile at the exit of the  $\pi Shaper$  at distances 20 mm, 100 mm, 500 mm,

Do not use the Scanning Slit beam profilers!


#### as well as

- Setting of the Adjustment ring,
- Serial number of the unit, engraved on the housing,
- Main specifications of the laser:
  - wavelength,
  - CW or pulse,
  - M<sup>2</sup>,
  - power specifications,
  - pulse energy,
  - pulse width?

Data with material processing are considered **ONLY** when the above mentioned measured profiles are presented.


### πShaper 12\_12\_1064

# Variation in the output intensity profile with a variation of the diameter of the TEM<sub>00</sub> Gaussian input beam



Input 1/e<sup>2</sup> diameter 12.8 mm.

#### Variation of diameter of input laser beam



Input 1/e<sup>2</sup> diameter 11 mm.

Input 1/e<sup>2</sup> diameter **15 mm**.

Figure 13. Output profiles by variable 1/e<sup>2</sup> diameter of input Gaussian beam.

The feature of the field mapping beam shapers that *output beam profile depends on the input beam size*, Fig. 13, can be used as a powerful and convenient tool to vary the resulting intensity distribution by simple changing of laser beam diameter with using an ordinary zoom beam expander ahead of the  $\pi$ *Shaper*.

This approach is demonstrated in Fig.13 where results of theoretical calculations are shown. The data relate to the  $\pi Shaper 12\_12$  which design presumes that a perfect Gaussian beam with  $1/e^2$  diameter 12.8 mm to be converted to a beam with uniform intensity (flattop) with FWHM diameter 12.4 mm. When the input beam has a proper size the resulting beam profile is flattop, Fig.13(a). Increasing of input beam diameter leads to downing of intensity in the centre, Fig.13(c), sometimes this distribution is called as "inverse-Gauss"; input beam size reduction allows getting a convex profile that approximately can be described by super-Gauss functions, Fig.13(b).

An interesting feature of the field mapping beam shapers is in stability of the output beam size – variation of input beam diameter results in variation of intensity profile while the output beam diameter stays almost invariable. This is very important in practice and brings element of stability while searching for optimum conditions for a particular laser application.

Experimental data of operation of **πShaper 6\_6\_1064**, realizing the same beam shaping principle, are presented in Fig. 14, next page.

# Input TEM<sub>00</sub> laser beam




Figure 14.  $\pi$ Shaper operation by variable diameter of perfect Gaussian input beam.

### *πShaper* 12\_12\_1064

### Providing a flat-top output profile with input beams which profiles deviate from the Gaussian function

Left Column – data for input beam:

- Diameter D<sub>in</sub> for 1/e<sup>2</sup> intensity level,
- Gaussian Apodisation of Factor=1 corresponds to TEM<sub>00</sub> beam of M<sup>2</sup>=1,
- Diameter is adjusted to get uniform intensity profile at output of  $\pi$ Shaper.

Right Column -data for output beam profile and Dout diameter at the Full Width at Half Maximum (FWHM) Intensity.




Figure 15.  $\pi$ Shaper operation by variable profile (intensity distribution) of input beam.

# **Apodisation Type**

By default, the pupil is always illuminated uniformly. However, there are times when the pupil should have a non-uniform illumination. For this purpose, ZEMAX supports pupil Apodisation, which is a variation of amplitude over the pupil. Three types of pupil Apodisation are supported: uniform, Gaussian, and tangential. Uniform means rays are distributed uniformly over the entrance pupil, simulating uniform illumination. Gaussian Apodisation imparts an amplitude variation over the pupil that is Gaussian in form. The Apodisation factor refers to the rate of decrease of the beam amplitude as a function of radial pupil coordinate. The beam amplitude is normalized to unity at the center of the pupil. The amplitude at other points in the entrance pupil is given by

$$A(\rho) = \exp(-G\rho^2),$$

where G is the Apodisation factor and  $\rho$  is the normalized pupil coordinate. If the Apodisation factor is zero, then the pupil illumination is uniform. If the Apodisation factor is 1.0, then the beam amplitude has fallen to the 1 over e point at the edge of the entrance pupil (which means the intensity has fallen to the 1 over e squared point, about 13% of the peak). The Apodisation factor can be any number greater than or equal to 0.0.

# Behavior of πShaper 12\_12\_1064 when misalignments

Dependence of the  $\pi$ Shaper 12\_12\_1064 intensity profile on the tilt or the respective lateral displacement (decentering).




Figure 16. To the evaluation of the sensitivity of misalignments, theoretical intensity profiles for  $\pi$ Shaper 12\_12\_1064 @ 1064nm. Conditions:

- data in columns for the respective tilt misalignments around the  $\pi Shaper$  input component, value of the respective lateral displacement of the optics output is given in parentheses for reference,
- upper row the plane of the tilt (Y),
- lower row the plane orthogonal to the tilt(X).

 $\pi Shaper\ 12\_12\_1064$  performance is practically unchanged with angular tilt misalignments of up to  $\pm 0.1^{\circ}$  or lateral displacements of up to  $\pm 0.2$  mm. Larger alignment errors can lead to a serious change in the output intensity distribution.

The sensitivity of  $\pi$ Shaper 12\_12 to misalignments is similar to the sensitivity of the  $\pi$ Shaper 6\_6, which is described in the next chapter.

Optimum alignment of the  $\pi$ Shaper 12\_12 can be provided using the 4-axis Mounts described in the Chapter 7.

# Behavior of πShaper 6\_6\_1064 when misalignments

Correct alignment is important for any beam shaping optics; let's evaluate the effect of misalignments in the case of the refractive field mapping beam shapers. Fig. 17 presents results of mathematical simulation, as well as measurements of real profiles for the  $\pi$ Shaper 6\_6 in three cases: perfectly aligned, lateral beam displacement, angular tilt of the beam shaper.




Figure 17 To the evaluation of the sensitivity of misalignments, theoretical and experimental intensity profiles for π**Shaper 6\_6\_1064** @ 1064nm:

a) Input TEM<sub>00</sub> beam, b) Output by perfect alignment c) Output by lateral shift at 0.5 mm, d) Output by tilt at 1°.

The aberration correction of  $\pi$ Shaper systems is provided for the clear aperture (CA) diameter of at least 1.6 times larger than the  $1/e^2$  diameter of a laser beam. Therefore, a small, up to  $\pm 20\%$  of the diameter, lateral displacement of the beam with respect to the beam shaper or vice versa doesn't lead to aberration, but allows obtaining an interesting beam shaping effect – the output profile is skewed in direction of the lateral displacement, this is shown in Fig. 17c. The intensity profile itself remains flat, but tilts in the direction of the displacement; and a remarkable feature is that the beam itself remains collimated and low divergent. This inclined profile can be used in applications where a steady increase or decrease of intensity is required, for example, to compensate for the attenuation of an acoustic wave in acousto-optical devices.

As an optical system designed to work with axial beams,  $\pi Shaper$  operates in a relatively narrow angular field; the data in Fig. 17d demonstrate the behaviour of the intensity profile when the beam shaper is tilted at 1°. The intensity profile remains stable, but there is noticeable deterioration in quality on the left and right sides of the spot due to aberrations, first of all coma. It should be noted that the tilt of 1° means for the considered  $\pi Shaper 6_6$  the lateral displacement of one of its ends by about 2 mm! No doubts, this displacement can be easily compensated by conventional opto-mechanical mounts, for example by the 4-axis Mounts described in the Chapter 7.

These data show that misalignments affect the  $\pi Shaper$  operation, but the sensitivity to these misalignments is not high: even with significant lateral displacement (up to 0.5 mm!) and tilt (up to  $1^{\circ}$ !) the resulting profiles are close to flat-top. In other words, the tolerance of positioning of a beam shaper is rather not tough, and misalignments can be compensated by conventional optomechanical 4-axis mounts. Since the influence of a tilt on the wave aberration of the output beam is quite pronounced, it is recommended to pay more attention to the angular alignment when setting up the beam shapers.

 $\pi$ Shaper 6\_6 performance is practically unchanged with angular tilt misalignments of up to  $\pm$  0.1 ° or lateral displacements of up to  $\pm$  0.1 mm.